AARON D. FORD			
ANDREA M. DOMINGUEZ, Bar No. 15209 Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1163 E-mail: adominguez@ag.nv.gov Attorneys for Defendants Renee Baker, Tamara Bartel, Dwayne Baze, Kelly Belanger, Quentin Byrne, Tara Carpenter, Melina Castro, James Dzurenda, Timothy Filson, Sheryl Foster, Starlin Gentry, Todd Gilliland, James Keener, E.K. McDaniel, Ramon Olivas,			
		Valaree Olivas, and William Sandie	
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
		DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
		13	
		DOUGLAS SHIELDS,	C N 2.10 00021 MMD WCC
		Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:18-cv-00031-MMD-WGC ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
		vs.	MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A REPLY TO
RENEE BAKER, et al.,	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEENDANTS' MOTION FOR		
Defendants.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF NO. 92) (SECOND REQUEST)		
	(SECOND REQUEST)		
Defendants Renee Baker, Tamara Bartel, Dwayne Baze, Kelly Belanger, Quentin			
Byrne, Tara Carpenter, Melina Castro, James Dzurenda, Timothy Filson, Sheryl Foster,			
Starlin Gentry, Todd Gilliland, James Keener, E.K. McDaniel, Ramon Olivas, Valaree			
3 Olivas and William Sandie, by and through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Attorney General of			
the State of Nevada, and Andrea M. Dominguez, Deputy Attorney General, hereby file			
5 this Motion for an Extension of Time to File A Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to			
6 Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 92) (SECOND REQUEST). This			
Motion is based on Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), the following Memorandum			
of Points and Authorities, and all papers and pleadings on file in this action.			
	Attorney General ANDREA M. DOMINGUEZ, Bar No. 1520 Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1163 E-mail: adominguez@ag.nv.gov Attorneys for Defendants Renee Baker, Tamara Bartel, Dwayne Baze Kelly Belanger, Quentin Byrne, Tara Carpe Melina Castro, James Dzurenda, Timothy Sheryl Foster, Starlin Gentry, Todd Gillila James Keener, E.K. McDaniel, Ramon Oliv Valaree Olivas, and William Sandie UNITED STATE DISTRIC DOUGLAS SHIELDS, Plaintiff, vs. RENEE BAKER, et al., Defendants. Defendants Renee Baker, Tamara Byrne, Tara Carpenter, Melina Castro, Ja Starlin Gentry, Todd Gilliland, James K Olivas and William Sandie, by and throug the State of Nevada, and Andrea M. Dor this Motion for an Extension of Time Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgmen Motion is based on Federal Rule of Civil P		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. ARGUMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Defendants respectfully request a 30-day extension of the time to file a reply to plaintiff's opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment from the current deadline of **January 28, 2021** to **March 1, 2021**¹. Defendants provide the following information in accordance with Local Rule 26-3.

A. Discovery Completed

Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Renee Baker Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Renee Baker Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Tamara Bartel Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Tamara Bartel Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Kelly Belanger Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Tara Carpenter Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Kelly Belanger Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Starlin Gentry Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Starlin Gentry Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Ramon Olivas Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Valaree Olivas Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Todd Gilliland Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Timothy Filson Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Melina Castro Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Dwayne Baze Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Sheryl Foster Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to James Keener

25 | ///

²⁶

²⁷²⁸

¹ Defendants are only requesting a 30-day extension of time to file a reply, however, counsel notes that the 30th day falls on a Saturday. Therefore, the date has been adjusted accordingly.

1 Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Todd Gilliland 2 Plaintiff's Second Request for Production of Documents to Renee Baker 3 В. Discovery That Remains to be Completed No additional discovery is needed in this matter. The remaining case management 4 deadlines are: 5 Reply Deadline: January 28, 2021 6 Joint pretrial order 30 days after decision on motions for summary judgment. 7 8 C. Reasons why the Deadlines Were not Satisfied This request for an extension has been necessitated by the fact that this case has 9 been transferred to another Deputy Attorney General (DAG) who is new to the division. 10 This case has been assigned to DAG Laurie Ginn (DAG Ginn). Due to DAG Ginn just 11 joining our office, she has not yet been registered for electronic filing in the United States 12 13 District Court. Previous Counsel for Defendants' is filing this extension on her behalf. As DAG Ginn was only recently assigned this case, Defendants respectfully request 14 an extension of time of 30-days days to file a Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's 15 Motion for Summary Judgment. DAG Ginn requires additional time to familiarize herself 16 with the claims, the motion for summary judgment, the opposition to the motion for 17 18 summary judgment, and the case in general. D. **Proposed Deadlines** 19 20 Reply Deadline March 1, 2021 21 Joint pretrial order 30 days after decision on motions for summary judgment. 22 E. Good Cause Supports the Request 23 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) governs extensions of time and provides as 24 follows: This Court should find good cause supports this request. When 25 an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without 26 motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made 27 after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of

excusable neglect.

28

Case 3:18-cv-00031-MMD-WGC Document 96 Filed 01/28/21 Page 4 of 4

Defendant's request will not hinder or prejudice Plaintiff's case. It will allow for a 1 2 thorough opportunity to review the case file and pending motions. Further, Shield's 3 Opposition is 488 pages long. Therefore, Shield's will not be prejudiced by a 30-day delay to respond to his opposition. Shield's himself has received a 75-day extension of time to 4 respond to Defendants' motion for summary judgment, which Defendants' did not oppose. 5 Defendants assert that the requisite good cause is present to warrant the requested 6 extension of time. Therefore, the Defendants respectfully request an extension, until 7 8 March 1, 2021, to file their Reply. 9 DATED this 27th day of January, 2021. AARON D. FORD 10 Attorney General 11 By: 12 ANDREA M. DOMINGUEZ. Bar No. 15209 Deputy Attorney General 13 State of Nevada **Public Safety Division** 14 Attorneys for Defendants 15 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 DATED: January 28, 2021. 19 William G. Cobb 20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28